

STATE OF MINNESOTA
BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY

In the Matter of
Michael G Lutze
Certificate No. 22028

STIPULATION AND
CONSENT ORDER
Board File 2008-206

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by Michael G Lutze ("Respondent"), 16830 Vanderbilt St, Brookfield, WI 53005 and the Complaint Committee ("Committee") of the Minnesota Board of Accountancy ("Board") that without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law and without any evidence or admission by any party with respect to any such issue:

1. A Notice of Conference with the Board of Accountancy's Complaint committee was duly served upon Respondent, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged by Respondent.

2. On January 12, 2009, Respondent, with William Michael, Dorsey Whitney LLP and Thomas Riesenber, Ernst & Young LLP Deputy General Counsel appeared before the Board's Complaint Committee, composed of Board members Michael M. Vekich, Chair, Neil Lapidus and Robert J. Hyde to discuss allegations made in the notice referenced above. Executive Director, Doreen Frost was also present. Gregory P. Huwe, Assistant Attorney General, represented the Board at the conference.

3. For the purpose of this stipulation, Respondent waives all procedures and proceedings before the Board to which Respondent may be entitled under the United States and Minnesota constitutions, statutes, or the rules of the Board, including the right to dispute the allegations against Respondent and to dispute the appropriateness of discipline in a contested case hearing pursuant to Minnesota Statutes ch. 14 (2008). Respondent agrees that upon the ex parte application of the Committee, the Board may order the remedy specified in paragraph 9 below. Respondent waives the right to any judicial review of the order by appeal, by writ of certiorari, or otherwise.

4. This Stipulation shall constitute the entire record of the proceedings herein upon which the Consent Order is based. All documents in the Board's files shall maintain the data classification to which they are entitled under the Minnesota Government Data Practices Act,

Minnesota Statutes ch. 13 (2008). They shall not, to the extent they are not already public documents, become public merely because they are referenced herein.

5. In the event the Board in its discretion does not approve this Stipulation or a lesser remedy than specified in this Consent Order, this Stipulation and Consent Order shall be null and void and shall not be used for any purpose by either party. If this Stipulation is not approved and a contested case hearing is initiated by the Committee pursuant to Minnesota Statutes ch. 14 (2008), Respondent agrees not to object to the Board's initiation of the hearing and it hearing the case on the basis that the Board has become disqualified because of to its review and consideration of this Stipulation or of any records relating hereto.

FACTS

6. Respondent admits the facts referred to below and grants that the Board may, for the purpose of reviewing the record in paragraph 2 above, consider the following as true without prejudice to the Respondent in any current or future proceeding of the Board with regard to these or other allegations:

a. The Securities and Exchange Commission found that Respondent engaged in improper professional conduct pursuant to Exchange Act Section 4C and Rule 102(e) of the Commission's Rules of Practice. Respondent was denied the privilege of appearing or practicing as an accountant before the Securities and Exchange Commission for one year. Attached is Securities and Exchange Commission ORDER INSTITUTING ADMINISTRATIVE AND CEASE-AND-DESIST PROCEEDINGS PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 4C AND 21C OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 AND RULE 102(e) OF THE COMMISSION'S RULES OF PRACTICE, MAKING FINDINGS AND IMPOSING REMEDIAL SANCTIONS AND A CEASE-AND DESIST ORDER. The Securities and Exchange Commission Order describes a violation of the auditor independence rules with respect to 'Company A", which has been identified as a corporation headquartered in Minnesota

b. Respondent committed acts resulting in Respondent's right to practice before the Securities and Exchange Commission, a federal government agency, being suspended for one year with the right to request reinstatement.

c. Respondent violated Minnesota Statute § 326A.08, Subd 5(a)(7) (2008).

STATUTES

7. Respondent admits that the facts and conduct specified in paragraph 4 above constitutes violation of Minnesota Statutes ch. 326A (2008) and Minnesota Rules chapter 1105 (2007) and are sufficient grounds for the remedy specified in paragraph 9 below, and that proof at hearing of any one or more of the allegations set forth would empower the Board to take disciplinary action against Respondent's certificate.

8. This Stipulation shall not in any way or manner limit or affect the authority of the Board to proceed against Respondent by initiating a contested case hearing or by other appropriate means on the basis of any act, conduct, or admission of Respondent justifying disciplinary action which occurred before or after the date of this stipulation and that is not directly related to the specific facts and circumstances set forth herein.

REMEDY

9. Upon this Stipulation and record, as set forth in paragraph 4 above, and without any further notice of proceedings, the Committee and Respondent agree that the Board may, in its discretion, issue an order to Respondent requiring compliance with the following:

- a. Respondent's certificate is **CENSURED** and **REPRIMANDED**.
- b. Concurrent with the submission of this Stipulation and Consent Order to the Board for its approval Respondent shall pay a **CIVIL PENALTY** of \$2,000.
- c. Respondent agrees that the Respondent will not violate in the future any statute, rule or order that the Board has issued or is empowered to enforce.

10. In accordance with Minnesota Statute § 16D.17 (2008), in the event this order becomes final and Respondent does not comply with the condition in paragraph 9(b) above, Respondent agrees that the Board may file and enforce the unpaid portion of the civil penalty as a judgment with out further notice or additional proceedings.

11. Violations of this Stipulation and Consent Order shall be considered a violation of Minnesota Statute § 326A.08, subd 5 (a) (1) (2008). If Respondent violates this Stipulation and

Consent Order, Minnesota Statute §§ 326A.01-.14 (2008) or Minnesota Rules 1105 (2007), the Board may impose additional discipline pursuant to the following procedure:

a. The Complaint Committee shall schedule a hearing before the Board. At least ten days prior to the hearing, the Committee shall mail Respondent a notice of the violation alleged by the Committee and of the time and place of the hearing. Service of notice on Respondent is complete upon mailing the notice to Respondent's last known address. Within seven days after the notice is mailed, Respondent shall submit a response to the allegations. If Respondent does not submit a timely response to the Board, the allegations may be deemed admitted.

b. At the hearing before the Board, the Committee and Respondent may submit affidavits made on personal knowledge and argument based on the record in support of their positions. The evidentiary record before the Board shall be limited to such affidavits and this Stipulation and Consent Order. Respondent waives a hearing before an administrative law judge and waives discovery, cross-examination of adverse witnesses, and other procedures governing administrative hearings or civil trials.

c. At the hearing, the Board will determine whether to impose additional disciplinary action, which may include additional conditions or limitations on Respondent's practice or suspension or revocation of Respondent's certificate.

The Committee shall have the right to attempt to resolve an alleged violation of the Stipulation and Consent Order through the procedures of Minnesota Statute § 214.10 (2008). Nothing herein shall limit (a) the Committee's right to initiate a proceeding against Respondent pursuant to Minnesota Statute ch. 14 (2008), or (b) the Committee's and the Board's right to temporarily suspend Respondent's certificate pursuant to Minnesota Statute § 326A.08, subd. 6 (2008), based on a violation of this Stipulation and Consent Order or based on conduct of Respondent before or after the date of this stipulation which is not specifically referred to in paragraph 6 above.

12. Any appropriate court may, upon application of the Board, enter its decree enforcing the order of the Board.

13. Respondent hereby acknowledges that he has read, understands, and agrees to this Stipulation and Consent Order and has freely and voluntarily signed the stipulation without threat or promise by the Board or any of its members, employees, or agents. When signing the stipulation, Respondent acknowledges that he is fully aware that the Stipulation and Consent Order must be approved by the Board. The Board may approve the Stipulation and Consent Order as proposed, approve the order subject to specified change, or reject it. If the changes are unacceptable to Respondent or the Board rejects the stipulation, it will be of no effect except as specified herein.


14. This Stipulation and Consent Order is public data pursuant to Minnesota Statute § 13.41, subd. 4 (2008).

15. This Stipulation contains the entire agreement between the parties. Respondent is not relying on any other agreement or representation of any kind, verbal or otherwise.

16. If approved by the Board, a copy of this Stipulation and Consent Order shall be served personally or by first class mail on Respondent. The Order shall be effective and deemed issued when it is signed.

CONSENT:


BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY
COMPLAINT COMMITTEE


Michael G Lutze
Respondent


Dated: April 30, 2009


Michael M. Vekich, CPA
Chair

Dated: 5/8, 2009


William Michael Jr.
Attorney

Dated: APRIL 30, 2009


Gregory P. Huwe
Assistant Attorney General


Dated: May 8, 2009

ORDER:

Upon consideration of the foregoing stipulation and based upon all the files, records and proceedings, herein,

1. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent's certified public accountant certificate are CENSURED AND REPRIMANDED, and
2. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all other terms of this stipulation and consent Order are adopted and implemented this 11 day of May, 2009.

STATE OF MINNESOTA
BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY


Neil Lapidus, CPA
Chair